arXiv:1210.2242v1 [math.KT] 8 Oct 2012

ON THE MOTIVIC SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

GRIGORY GARKUSHA AND IVAN PANIN

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the Grayson motivic spectral sequence is isomorphic to the motivic spectral sequence produced by the Voevodsky slice tower for the motivic K-theory spectrum KGL. This solves Suslin's problem for these two spectral sequences in the affirmative. To prove this, one has to solve the Voevodsky problem for slices of KGL. The latter problem was first solved by Levine [15] by using the coniveau tower, but our methods are entirely different from [15].

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the more significant developments in algebraic K-theory in the 1990-s/early 2000-s was the construction of an algebraic analogue for the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence. It is a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathscr{M}}^{p-q,-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(X)$$

that relates the motivic cohomology groups of a smooth variety to its algebraic K-groups. The existence of this spectral sequence was first conjectured by Beilinson [1]. It is also called the *motivic spectral sequence*. Its construction is given in various forms:

(MSS1) the Bloch–Lichtenbaum motivic spectral sequence [2] for the spectrum of a field together with the Friedlander–Suslin and Levine extensions [4, 14] to the global case for a smooth variety over a field;
(MSS2) the Grayson motivic spectral sequence [10, 20, 27, 5];
(MSS3) the Voevodsky motivic spectral sequence [3, p. 171] produced by the slice filtration of the motivic K-theory spectrum KGL [24, 25].

A problem of Suslin says that the three types of the motivic spectral sequences agree with each other. In [15] Levine solved the Voevodsky problem for the slices of the spectrum KGL [24, 25] (over a perfect field). As a consequence he shows that (MSS1) agrees with (MSS3) over perfect fields.

The main goal of this paper is to show that (MSS2) agrees with (MSS3) (over perfect fields), answering the Suslin problem in the affirmative for these two spectral sequences. In order to achieve this, we give another solution of the Voevodsky problem for the slices of the spectrum KGL (over a perfect field). Our methods for the latter problem are entirely different from Levine's [15].

Throughout the paper we denote by Sm/k the category of smooth separated schemes of finite type over the base field k.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19E08; 55T99.

Key words and phrases. Motivic spectral sequence, algebraic K-theory, motivic cohomology.

This paper was written during the visit of the second author to Swansea University supported by EPSRC grant EP/J013064/1. He would like to thank the University for the kind hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect basic facts about the K-theory associated with cubes of additive categories. We mostly follow Grayson [10].

2.1. *Bivariant additive categories*

Let $AddCats$ denote the category of small additive categories and additive functors. Let $AffSm/k$ be the full subcategory of Sm/k whose objects are the affine smooth k-schemes. By a *bivariant additive category* we mean a functor

$$\mathscr{A} : (Sm/k)^{\mathrm{op}} \times AffSm/k \to AddCats.$$

So to any $X \in Sm/k$ and $Y \in AffSm/k$ we associate an additive category $\mathscr{A}(X,Y)$ which is contravariant in X and covariant in Y. We also require that there is an action of $AffSm/k$ on $\mathscr{A}$ in the following sense. Given $U \in AffSm/k$ there is an additive functor

$$\alpha_U : \mathscr{A}(X,Y) \to \mathscr{A}(X \times U, Y \times U),$$

functorial in X and Y, such that for any morphism $f : U \to V$ in $AffSm/k$ the following square of additive functors is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A}(X \times V, Y \times V) \arrow[r, "\mathscr{A}(1_X \times f, 1_{Y \times V})"] & \mathscr{A}(X \times U, Y \times V) \\
\mathscr{A}(X,Y) \arrow[u, "\alpha_V"] \arrow[r, "\alpha_U"] & \mathscr{A}(X \times U, Y \times U). \arrow[u, "\mathscr{A}(1_{X \times U}, 1_Y \times f)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Below we shall associate an explicitly constructed bispectrum to any bivariant additive category. For this we need to collect some facts about algebraic K-theory of additive categories.

2.2. *K-theory for cubes of additive categories*

We let $[1]$ denote the ordered set $\{0 < 1\}$ regarded as a category, and we use ε as notation for an object of $[1]$. By an n-dimensional cube in a category $\mathscr{C}$ we shall mean a functor from $[1]^n$ to $\mathscr{C}$. An object C in $\mathscr{C}$ gives a 0-dimensional cube denoted by $[C]$, and an arrow $C \to C'$ in $\mathscr{C}$ gives a 1-dimensional cube denoted by $[C \to C']$. If the category $\mathscr{C}$ has products, we may define an external product of cubes as follows. Given an n-dimensional cube X and an n'-dimensional cube Y in $\mathscr{C}$, we let $X \boxtimes Y$ denote the $n+n'$-dimensional cube defined by $(X \boxtimes Y)(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+n'}) = X(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \times Y(\varepsilon_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{n+n'})$. Let $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$ denote the external product of n copies of $[1 \to \mathbb{G}_m]$. For example, $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 2}$ is the square of schemes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Spec} k \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{G}_m \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{G}_m \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m.
\end{tikzcd}$$

In [9, §4] is presented a construction called C which can be applied to a cube of additive categories to convert it into a multisimplicial additive category, the K-theory of which serves as the iterated cofiber space/spectrum of the corresponding cube of K-theory spaces/spectra.

We let Ord denote the category of finite nonempty ordered sets. For $A \in Ord$ we define a category $Sub(A)$ whose objects are the pairs (i,j) with $i \leqslant j \in A$, and where there is an (unique) arrow $(i',j') \to (i,j)$ exactly when $i' \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant j'$. Given an additive category $\mathscr{A}$, we say that a functor $M : Sub(A) \to \mathscr{M}$ is *additive* if $M(i,i) = 0$ for all $i \in A$, and for all $i \leqslant j \leqslant k \in A$ the map $M(i,k) \to M(i,j) \oplus M(j,k)$ is an isomorphism. Here 0 denotes a previously chosen zero object of $\mathscr{M}$. The set of such additive functors is denoted by $Add(Sub(A),\mathscr{M})$.

The Grayson simplicial set $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$ [9, 10] is defined as

$$(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M})(A) = Add(Sub(A),\mathscr{M}).$$

An n-simplex $M \in S^{\oplus}_{n}\mathscr{M}$ may be thought of as a compatible collection of direct sum diagrams $M(i,j) \cong M(i,i+1) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(j-1,j)$. There is a natural map $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M} \to S\mathscr{M}$ which converts each direct sum diagram $M(i,k) \cong M(i,j) \oplus M(j,k)$ into the short exact sequence $0 \to M(i,j) \to M(i,k) \to M(j,k) \to 0$. Here $S\mathscr{M}$ stands for the Waldhausen S-construction [26].

Given a finite set Q, one can define the $|Q|$-fold iterated $S^{\oplus}$-construction $S^{\oplus,Q}\mathscr{M}$ similar to [7, section 6]. Then the nth space of Grayson's K-theory spectrum is given by

$$K^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})_n = |\operatorname{Ob} S^{\oplus,Q}\mathscr{M}|,$$

where $Q = \{1,\dots,n\}$. It is verified similar to [7, section 6] that $K^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})$ is a (semistable) symmetric spectrum.

Given an n-dimensional cube of additive categories $\mathscr{M}$, we define an n-fold multisimplicial additive category $C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$ as a functor from $(Ord^n)^{\mathrm{op}}$ to the category of additive categories by letting $C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}(A_1,\dots,A_n)$ be the set

$$Add([Sub(A_1) \to Sub(\{L\}A_1)] \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes [Sub(A_n) \to Sub(\{L\}A_n)],\mathscr{M}) \tag{1}$$

of multi-additive natural transformations. When $n = 0$, we may identify $C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$ with $\mathscr{M}$. We define $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$ to be $S^{\oplus}C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$, the result of applying the $S^{\oplus}$-construction of Grayson degreewise. It is an $n+1$-fold multisimplicial set (see [10] for details). Grayson's K-theory $K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M})$ of $C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$ serves as the iterated cofiber space/spectrum of the corresponding cube of Grayson's K-theory spaces/spectra.

Lemma 2.1 ([10]). *Suppose we are given an additive map $\mathscr{M}' \to \mathscr{M}$ of n-dimensional cubes of additive categories. Let $[\mathscr{M}' \to \mathscr{M}]$ denote the corresponding $n+1$-dimensional cube of additive categories.*

(a) *There is a fibration sequence*

$$S^{\oplus}[0 \to \mathscr{M}] \to S^{\oplus}[\mathscr{M}' \to \mathscr{M}] \to S^{\oplus}[\mathscr{M}' \to 0].$$

(b) *The space $S^{\oplus}[\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{1} \mathscr{M}]$ is contractible.*
(c) *$S^{\oplus}[0 \to \mathscr{M}]$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$.*
(d) *$S^{\oplus}[\mathscr{M} \to 0] = S^{\oplus}S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$ is is a delooping of $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}$.*
(f) *There is a fibration sequence $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}' \to S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M} \to S^{\oplus}[\mathscr{M}' \to \mathscr{M}]$.*

Let $\mathscr{A} : (Sm/k)^{\mathrm{op}} \times AffSm/k \to AddCats$ be a bivariant additive category. Given $X \in Sm/k$, $Y \in AffSm/k$ and $n > 0$, the cube of schemes $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}$ gives rise to a cube of additive categories $\mathscr{A}(X, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$. Its vertices are $\mathscr{A}(X, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\times \ell})$, $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n$. The edges of the cube are given by the natural additive functors $i_s : \mathscr{A}(X, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\times(\ell-1)}) \to \mathscr{A}(X, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\times \ell})$ induced by the embeddings $i_s : \mathbb{G}_m^{\times(\ell-1)} \to \mathbb{G}_m^{\times \ell}$ of the form

$$(x_1,\dots,x_{\ell-1}) \longmapsto (x_1,\dots,1,\dots,x_{\ell-1}),$$

where 1 is the sth coordinate.

Thus we obtain a cube of bivariant additive categories $\mathscr{A}\langle\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}\rangle$. Grayson's K-theory of $\mathscr{A}\langle\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}\rangle$ produces a functor

$$K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}\langle\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}\rangle):(Sm/k)^{\mathrm{op}}\times AffSm/k\to Sp^{\Sigma},\quad (X,Y)\mapsto K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})).$$

Here Sp^{Σ} stands for the category of symmetric spectra in the sense of [12]. It is easy to see that

$$K_0^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}))=K_0^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}))/\sum_{s=1}^{n}(i_s)_*(K_0^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n-1}))). \tag{2}$$

3. THE CATEGORY OF BISPECTRA

In this paper we work with the category $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$ of presheaves of symmetric spectra. It has three model category structures, each of which we discuss separately.

Definition 3.1. A morphism f in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$ is a *stable weak equivalence* (respectively *stable projective fibration*) if $f(X)$ is a stable weak equivalence (respectively stable projective fibration) in Sp^{Σ} for all $X\in Sm/k$. It is a *stable projective cofibration* if f has the left lifting property with respect to all stable projective acyclic fibrations.

Recall that the Nisnevich topology is generated by elementary distinguished squares, i.e. pullback squares

$$\begin{tikzcd} U' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, phantom, "Q"] & X' \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\ U \arrow[r, "\psi"'] & X \end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

where φ is etale, ψ is an open embedding and $\varphi^{-1}(X\setminus U)\to(X\setminus U)$ is an isomorphism of schemes (with the reduced structure).

Definition 3.2. (1) A stably fibrant presheaf $M\in Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$ is *Nisnevich local* if for each elementary distinguished square Q the square of symmetric spectra $M(Q)$ is homotopy pullback.

(2) A Nisnevich local presheaf $M\in Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-*local* if the natural map

$$M(X)\to M(X\times\mathbb{A}^1)$$

is a stable equivalence of symmetric spectra for all $X\in Sm/k$.

(3) A map $f:A\to B$ in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$ is a *local weak equivalence* (respectively *motivic equivalence*) if the map of spaces

$$f^*:\mathrm{Map}(B,M)\to\mathrm{Map}(A,M)$$

is a weak equivalence for any Nisnevich local (respectively $\mathbb{A}^1$-local) presheaf M.

(4) The *Nisnevich local model category* (respectively the *motivic model category*) on presheaves of symmetric spectra, denoted by $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$ (respectively $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$), is determined by stable projective cofibrations and local weak equivalences (respectively motivic equivalences). The fibrations are defined by the corresponding lifting property. The homotopy category of $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$ will be denoted by $SH_{S^1}(k)$.

We define the mapping cylinder $\operatorname{cyl}(f)$ of a map $f : A \to B$ between cofibrant objects in a simplicial model category $\mathscr{M}$. Let $A \otimes \Delta^1$ denote the standard cylinder object for A. One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \sqcup A \arrow[r, "\nabla"] \arrow[d, "i=i_0 \sqcup i_1"'] & A \\
A \otimes \Delta^1 \arrow[ur, "\sigma"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which i is a cofibration and σ is a weak equivalence. Each i_ε must be a trivial cofibration.

Form the pushout diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "i_0"'] & B \arrow[d, "i_{0*}"] \\
A \otimes \Delta^1 \arrow[r, "f_*"] & \operatorname{Cyl}(f).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $(f\sigma) \circ i_0 = f$, and so there is a unique map $q : \operatorname{Cyl}(f) \to B$ such that $qf_* = f\sigma$ and $qi_{0*} = 1_B$. Put $\operatorname{cyl}(f) = f_* i_1$; then $f = q \circ \operatorname{cyl}(f)$.

If A, B are cofibrant in $\mathscr{M}$, then so is $\operatorname{Cyl}(f)$. Observe also that q is a weak equivalence. The map $\operatorname{cyl}(f)$ is a cofibration, since the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \sqcup A \arrow[r, "f \sqcup 1_A"] \arrow[d, "i_0 \sqcup i_1"'] & B \sqcup A \arrow[d, "i_{0*} \sqcup \operatorname{cyl}(f)"] \\
A \otimes \Delta^1 \arrow[r, "f_*"] & \operatorname{Cyl}(f).
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a pushout.

Consider the category $Pre(Sm/k)$ of presheaves of pointed simplicial sets. We can define the projective model category structure on it, where the weak equivalences and fibrations are defined schemewise. Let $\iota : pt = \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be the embedding $\iota(pt) = 1 \in \mathbb{G}_m$. The mapping cylinder yields a factorization of the induced map

$$\operatorname{Spec} k_+ \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Cyl}(\iota) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{G}_m)_+$$

into a cofibration and a simplicial homotopy equivalence in $Pre(Sm/k)$. Let $\mathbb{G}$ denote the cofibrant pointed presheaf $\operatorname{Cyl}(\iota)/\operatorname{Spec} k_+$.

Let $Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}(Sm/k)$ denote the category of $\mathbb{G}$-spectra in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$. Its objects are the sequences $(X_0, X_1, \ldots)$ of presheaves of symmetric spectra X_i-s together with bonding maps $X_i \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}} X_{i+1}$, where $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}} X_{i+1} = \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}, X_{i+1})$. Morphisms are defined levelwise and must be consistent with bonding maps. This category will also be referred as the *category of* $(S^1, \mathbb{G})$*-bispectra* or just *bispectra*. We define the *stable projective model structure* on $Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}(Sm/k)$ (respectively the *Nisnevich local and motivic model structure*) as the stable model category of $\mathbb{G}$-spectra in the sense of Hovey [11] associated with the model category $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$ (respectively $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$ and $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$). Using Hovey's notation [11], it is the model category $Sp^{\mathbb{N}}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k), \mathbb{G} \otimes -)$ (respectively $Sp^{\mathbb{N}}(Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k), \mathbb{G} \otimes -)$ and $Sp^{\mathbb{N}}(Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k), \mathbb{G} \otimes -)$). In what follows we shall denote the homotopy category of $Sp^{\mathbb{N}}(Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k), \mathbb{G} \otimes -)$ by $SH(k)$. It is one of equivalent definitions of the Voevodsky stable motivic category of the field k [23].

The main bispectrum we shall work with is produced by a bivariant additive category

$$\mathscr{A} : (Sm/k)^{\mathrm{op}} \times AffSm/k \to AddCats.$$

Namely, let

$$A_Y = (A_Y(0), A_Y(1), A_Y(2), \ldots)$$

be the sequence of presheaves of symmetric spectra

$$A_Y(n) = K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(-, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})), \quad n \geqslant 0.$$

We want to construct bonding maps

$$a_n : A_Y(n) \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}} A_Y(n+1).$$

Each a_n is uniquely determined by a map

$$\beta : K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(-, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})) \to K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(- \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1})) \tag{4}$$

and a homotopy

$$h : K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(-, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})) \to K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(- \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n+1}))^I \tag{5}$$

such that $d_0 h = \iota^* \beta$ and $d_1 h$ is constant.

We first construct the maps β and h for $n = 0$. By definition of a bivariant additive category, there is an additive functor

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_m} : \mathscr{A}(X, Y) \to \mathscr{A}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m), \quad X \in Sm/k.$$

we set the map β to be the composition

$$\mathscr{A}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_m}} \mathscr{A}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{p} \mathscr{A}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}),$$

where p is a natural functor (see Lemma 2.1(f)).

One has a commutative square of additive functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \arrow[r, "\mathscr{A}(1_X \times \iota, 1_{Y \times \mathbb{G}_m})"] & \mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \\
\mathscr{A}(X, Y) \arrow[u, "\alpha_{\mathbb{G}_m}"'] \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\operatorname{Spec} k}"] & \mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k). \arrow[u, "\mathscr{A}(1_{X \times \operatorname{Spec} k}, 1_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \times \iota)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the other hand, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m) \arrow[r, "p"] & \mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}) \\
\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k) \arrow[u, "\iota_*"] \arrow[r, "p'"] & {[\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k)]} \arrow[u, "\iota_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The right lower corner can be identified with the simplicial path space $P(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k))$. By [26, 1.5.1] there is a canonical contraction of this space into the set of its zero simplices regarded as a constant simplicial set. Since $P(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k))$ has only one zero simplex, it follows that there is a canonical simplicial homotopy

$$H : P(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k)) \to P(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X \times \operatorname{Spec} k, Y \times \operatorname{Spec} k))^I$$

such that $d_0 H = 1$ and $d_1 H = const$.

Now the map h (5) is induced by the composite map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathscr{A}(X\times \operatorname{Spec}k, Y\times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})^I \\
 & P(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X\times \operatorname{Spec}k, Y\times \operatorname{Spec}k)) \arrow[r, "H"] & P(S^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X\times \operatorname{Spec}k, Y\times \operatorname{Spec}k))^I \arrow[u, "\iota_*^I"'] \\
\mathscr{A}(X,Y) \arrow[r, "\alpha_{\operatorname{Spec}k}"] & \mathscr{A}(X\times \operatorname{Spec}k, Y\times \operatorname{Spec}k) \arrow[u, "p'"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The bonding map $a_0 : A_Y(0) \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}} A_Y(1)$ is constructed. The definition of each $a_n : A_Y(n) \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}} A_Y(n+1)$ is similar to that of a_0. The only difference is that we replace the bivariant additive category $\mathscr{A}(X,Y)$ by the multisimplicial bivariant additive category $\mathscr{A}(X, Y\times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$.

Given an abelian monoid $(A,+)$, denote by $EM(A)$ its Eilenberg–Mac Lane symmetric spectrum in the sense of [5, Appendix A]. It is defined in terms of the σ-construction and is similar to the definition of the Waldhausen K-theory spectrum that uses the S-construction [26]. By definition, σA is a simplicial set whose n-simplices are the functions

$$a : \operatorname{Ob}\operatorname{Ar}[n] \to A, \quad (i,j) \mapsto a(i,j) = a_{i,j},$$

having the property that for every j, $a_{j,j} = 0$ and $a_{i,k} = a_{i,j} + a_{j,k}$ whenever $i \leqslant j \leqslant k$.

Given an n-dimensional cube of abelian monoids M, we define an n-fold multisimplicial abelian monoid $C^{\oplus}M$ similar to formula (1). The only difference is that we consider functions from objects of the corresponding posets ignoring poset arrows. It is worth to mention that Lemma 2.1 is valid for the σ-construction of cubes of abelian monoids. For this one uses the additivity theorem for the σ-construction, just as in [26, section 1.5]. Applying the σ-construction to the multisimplicial abelian monoid $C^{\oplus}M$, one gets a symmetric spectrum $EM(C^{\oplus}M)$. It serves as the iterated cofiber spectrum of the cube of Eilenberg–Mac Lane's spectra $EM(M)$.

Every n-dimensional cube of additive categories $\mathscr{M}$ gives rise to an n-fold cube of abelian groups $K_0^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})$. There is a natural map $S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M} \to \sigma K_0^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})$ induced by the map sending an object of an additive category to its isomorphism class in the Grothendieck group. This map induces a map of symmetric spectra

$$\tau : K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}) \to EM(C^{\oplus}K_0^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})).$$

For each $n \geqslant 0$ we set

$$A_{0,Y}(n) = EM(C^{\oplus}K_0^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-, Y\times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}))).$$

Note that $\pi_0(A_{0,Y}(n))$ is computed by formula (2) and the other homotopy groups are zero. We have that the sequence of symmetric spectra

$$A_{0,Y} = (A_{0,Y}(0), A_{0,Y}(1), A_{0,Y}(2), \ldots)$$

forms a bispectrum, in which the bonding maps are defined like those for the bispectrum A_Y. Moreover, there is a canonical map of bispectra

$$\tau : A_Y \to A_{0,Y}.$$

This map consists of the collection of canonical maps of symmetric spectra

$$\tau_n : A_Y(n) \to A_{0,Y}(n), \quad n \geqslant 0,$$

defined as above.

4. THE GRAYSON TOWER OF BISPECTRA

In this section we work in the framework of simplicial additive categories $\mathscr{M}$ over contractible simplicial rings R. Given such a pair $(\mathscr{M},R)$, Grayson [10] constructs a tower of spaces which is also referred to as the *Grayson tower*. Each space of the Grayson tower is defined as a K-theory space of some "multisimplicial additive category with commuting automorphisms" associated with $(\mathscr{M},R)$. In practice the Grayson tower gives rise to a motivic spectral sequence (see [10, 27, 20, 5]). The Grayson tower for $(\mathscr{M},R)$ can be extended to symmetric spectra [5]. We shall mostly adhere to [5] in this section.

In our setting the contractible ring R is

$$k[\Delta]: d \mapsto k[\Delta^d] = k[t_0,t_1,\dots,t_d]/(t_0+t_1+\dots+t_d-1).$$

In what follows we require

$$d \mapsto \mathscr{A}(X\times\Delta^d, Y)$$

to be a $k[\Delta]$-linear additive category, where $\mathscr{A}: Sm/k \times AffSm/k \to AddCats$ is a bivariant additive category.

In order to make Grayson's machinery applicable to our setting, throughout this section we work with a bivariant additive category

$$\mathscr{A}: Sm/k \times AffSm/k \to AddCats$$

satisfying the following property:

($\mathfrak{Aut}$) for every $X \in Sm/k, Y \in AffSm/k$ and $n>0$, the additive category $\mathscr{A}(X, Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})$ can be identified with the additive category $\mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})$ whose objects are the tuples $(P,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$, where $P\in\mathscr{A}(X,Y)$ and $(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ are commuting automorphisms of P. More precisely, there is an isomorphism of additive categories (not only an equivalence of categories)

$$\rho_{X,Y,n}: \mathscr{A}(X, Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) \to \mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})$$

such that the diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{A}(X, Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n-1}) \arrow[r, "\rho_{X,Y,n-1}"] \arrow[d, "i_s"'] & \mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n-1}) \arrow[d, "j_s"] \\
\mathscr{A}(X, Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}) \arrow[r, "\rho_{X,Y,n}"] & \mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Here i_s (respectively j_s) stands for the functor induced by the map $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})\in\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n-1}\mapsto(x_1,\dots,1,\dots,x_{n-1})\in\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n}$ with 1 the sth coordinate (respectively $(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{n-1})\mapsto(\theta_1,\dots,1,\dots,\theta_{n-1})$). We also require each identification $\rho_{X,Y,n}$ to be functorial in both arguments.

We can form a cube of additive categories $\mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$ whose vertices are $\mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})$ and edges are given by the functors j_s. The ($\mathfrak{Aut}$)-property implies the cubes $\mathscr{A}(X,Y)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$ and $\mathscr{A}(X,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$ are isomorphic.

Consider the map of bispectra

$$\tau: A_Y \to A_{0,Y}.$$

For every $n\geqslant 0$ there is a triangle in the homotopy category $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$ (see [5, section 7] for details)

$$|d\mapsto A_Y(n+1)(-\times\Delta^d)| \xrightarrow{\gamma_n} \Omega|d\mapsto A_Y(n)(-\times\Delta^d)| \xrightarrow{\Omega\tau_n} \Omega|d\mapsto A_{0,Y}(n)(-\times\Delta^d)|.$$

The map γ_n is defined as a zigzag of stable weak equivalences between presheaves of symmetric spectra, each of which is obtained from a (simplicial) bivariant additive category. Moreover, each map in the zigzag agrees with the bonding map in $\mathbb{G}$-direction (see [5, section 7] for the construction of the zigzag).

Since the category $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$ is triangulated with $\Sigma_s = - \wedge S^1$ a shift functor, the latter triangle gives a triangle

$$\Sigma_s|d \mapsto A_Y(n+1)(-\times\Delta^d)| \to |d \mapsto A_Y(n)(-\times\Delta^d)| \to |d \mapsto A_{0,Y}(n)(-\times\Delta^d)|.$$

We shall also call it the *Grayson triangle*.

We obtain a tower in $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$

$$\cdots \to \Sigma_s^{n+1}|d \mapsto A_Y(n+1)(-\times\Delta^d)| \to \Sigma_s^n|d \mapsto A_Y(n)(-\times\Delta^d)| \to \cdots \to |d \mapsto A_Y(0)(-\times\Delta^d)|, \tag{6}$$

in which successive cones are of the form

$$\Sigma_s^n|d \mapsto A_{0,Y}(n)(-\times\Delta^d)|.$$

We shall also refer to it as the *Grayson tower* for $\mathscr{A}$.

Given $F, G \in Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$ we shall use the following notation:

$$[F,G] := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}\,Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)}(F,G).$$

Given $X \in Sm/k$, $Y \in Affsm/k$ and $p,q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we also set

$$H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y) := [X_+, \Sigma_s^{p-q}|d \mapsto A_{0,Y}(q)(-\times\Delta^d)|]$$

and

$$K_i^{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y) := [X_+, \Sigma_s^{-i}|d \mapsto A_Y(0)(-\times\Delta^d)|], \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 4.1. Let $D(NSh)$ be the derived category of Nisnevich sheaves of abelian groups on Sm/k. The Dold–Kan correspondence yields a complex of presheaves of abelian groups

$$C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))$$

which uniquely corresponds to the simplicial presheaf

$$d \mapsto K_0^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(-\times\Delta^d, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})).$$

After sheafifying $C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))$ degreewise in the Nisnevich topology, we get a bounded above complex $C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))_{\mathrm{nis}} \in D(NSh)$ (the indexing is cohomological). It is then proved similar to [5, 7.8] that

$$H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y) = H^p_{\mathrm{nis}}(X, C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))_{\mathrm{nis}}[-q]),$$

where the right hand side stands for Nisnevich hypercohomology groups of X with coeffitients in $C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))_{\mathrm{nis}}[-q]$ (the shift is cohomological).

Theorem 4.2 (Grayson)**.** *The Grayson tower* (6) *produces a strongly convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{pq} = H^{p-q,-q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y) \Longrightarrow K^{\mathscr{A}}_{-p-q}(X,Y), \quad X \in Sm/k, Y \in Affsm/k, \tag{7}$$

which will also be referred to as the Grayson spectral sequence *for* $\mathscr{A}$.

Proof. This is proved similar to [5, 7.9]. ☐

Corollary 4.3. *If the groups $H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y)$ are homotopy invariant in the first argument, then so are the groups $K_i^{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y)$-s. In particular, every fibrant replacement of $|d \mapsto A_Y(0)(-\times\Delta^d)|$ in the Nisnevich local model category $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$ is fibrant in the motivic model category $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$.*

Below we shall study conditions when the Grayson spectral sequence (7) is expressed in terms of bispectra.

Given a bispectrum $X=(X_0,X_1,\ldots)$, the *shift in $\mathbb{G}$-direction* $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}X$ is the bispectrum $(X_1,X_2,\ldots)$. Similarly, the nth shift $\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}X$ is the bispectrum $(X_n,X_{n+1},\ldots)$. For each $n\geqslant 0$, we have a triangle in the homotopy category of bispectra $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}(Sm/k))$

$$\Sigma^{n+1}_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|\xrightarrow{\gamma}\Omega\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|\xrightarrow{\Omega\tau}\Omega\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_{0,Y}(-\times\Delta^d)|.$$

Since the category $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}(Sm/k))$ is triangulated with $\Sigma_s=-\wedge S^1$ a shift functor, the latter triangle gives a triangle

$$\Sigma_s\Sigma^{n+1}_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|\to\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|\xrightarrow{\tau}\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_{0,Y}(-\times\Delta^d)|.$$

We shall also call it the *Grayson triangle of bispectra*.

We obtain a tower of bispectra in $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}(Sm/k))$

$$\cdots\to\Sigma^{n+1}_s\Sigma^{n+1}_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|\to\Sigma^n_s\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|\to\cdots\to|d\mapsto A_Y(-\times\Delta^d)|,\quad(8)$$

in which successive cones are of the form

$$\Sigma^n_s\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}}|d\mapsto A_{0,Y}(-\times\Delta^d)|.$$

We shall also refer to it as the *Grayson tower of bispectra* for $\mathscr{A}$.

Given a presheaf $\mathscr{F}$ of abelian groups and a scheme $X\in Sm/k$, one sets

$$\mathscr{F}(X\wedge\mathbb{G}_m):=\mathrm{Ker}(\iota^*:\mathscr{F}(X\times\mathbb{G}_m)\to\mathscr{F}(X\times\mathrm{Spec}\,k)).$$

There is a map of complexes

$$\beta:C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))\to C^*(A_{0,Y}(q+1))(-\times\mathbb{G}_m),$$

where the left arrow is induced by map (4). Homotopy (5) implies β uniquely factors through $C^*(A_{0,Y}(q+1))(-\wedge\mathbb{G}_m)$. Therefore one gets maps

$$\beta^{p,q}:H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y)\to H^{p+1,q+1}_{\mathscr{A}}(X\wedge\mathbb{G}_m,Y).$$

Definition 4.4. We say that the bigraded presheaves $H^{*,*}_{\mathscr{A}}(-,Y)$ satisfy the *cancelation property* if all maps $\beta^{p,q}$ are isomorphisms.

Let $X=(X_0,X_1,\ldots)$ and $Y=(Y_0,Y_1,\ldots)$ be two bispectra. Recall that a map of bispectra $f:X\to Y$ is a level Nisnevich local equivalence if so is each $f_n:X_n\to Y_n$ in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$. By common facts for model categories (see, e.g., [11]) there is a level Nisnevich local equivalence of bispectra

$$u:X\to\widetilde{X}$$

such that each map $u_n:X_n\to\widetilde{X}_n$ is a cofibration and each $\widetilde{X}_n$ is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$. Moreover, the map is functorial in X.

Consider the bispectrum $A_{0,Y}=(A_{0,Y}(0),A_{0,Y}(1),\ldots)$. Denote by $A^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$ the bispectrum

$$(|d\mapsto A_{0,Y}(0)(-\times\Delta^d)|,|d\mapsto A_{0,Y}(1)(-\times\Delta^d)|,\ldots).$$

Then there is a map of bispectra (see above)

$$u:A^{\Delta}_{0,Y}\to\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}.$$

Observe that there is an isomorphism

$$H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y)\cong\pi(X_+,\Sigma^{p-q}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_q),$$

where the right hand side stands for the usual homotopy equivalence classes of maps.

Lemma 4.5. *The bigraded presheaves* $H^{*,*}_{\mathscr{A}}(-,Y)$ *satisfy the cancelation property if and only if each structure map of the bispectrum* $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$

$$(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_n \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_{n+1}$$

is a stable weak equivalence in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$.

We can also define bispectra A^{Δ}_{Y} and $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{Y}$ similar to the bispectra $A^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$ and $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$. There is a map of towers in $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n+1}_s(A^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma^{n}_s(A^{\Delta}_Y)_{n} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & (A^{\Delta}_Y)_0 \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n+1}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+1} \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n} \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & (\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the upper tower is the Grayson tower and the vertical morphisms are Nisnevich local equivalences in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$. Moreover, we have maps of successive cones of both towers

$$\Sigma^n_s u_n : \Sigma^n_s(A^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_n \to \Sigma^n_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_n.$$

For every $q \geqslant 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ one sets

$$K^{\mathscr{A}}_p(X, Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^q_m) := [X_+, \Sigma^{p-q}_s(A^{\Delta}_Y)_q]$$

Observe that there is an isomorphism

$$K^{\mathscr{A}}_p(X, Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^q_m) \cong \pi(X_+, \Sigma^{p-q}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_q).$$

Theorem 4.6 (Cancelation for K-theory)**.** *Suppose the bigraded presheaves* $H^{*,*}_{\mathscr{A}}(-,Y)$ *satisfy the cancelation property. Then each structure map of the bispectrum* $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y$

$$(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_q \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{q+1}, \quad q \geqslant 0, \tag{9}$$

is a stable weak equivalence of symmetric spectra. In particular, the natural map

$$K^{\mathscr{A}}_p(X, Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^q_m) \to K^{\mathscr{A}}_{p+1}(X \wedge \mathbb{G}_m, Y \wedge \mathbb{G}^{q+1}_m),$$

induced by (9), *is an isomorphism for all* $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We have a map of towers in $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n+1}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma^{n}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & (\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_0 \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n+1}_s\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+2} \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n}_s\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+1} \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the upper tower is the Grayson tower and the vertical morphisms are structure morphisms of the byspectrum $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y$. By Lemma 4.5 all maps of successive cones

$$\Sigma^n_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_n \to \Sigma^n_s\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_{n+1}$$

are stable weak equivalences in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$.

For every $X \in Sm/k$, Theorem 4.2 implies the upper tower produces a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = \pi_{p+q}(\Sigma^q_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_q(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}((\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_0(X))$$

and the lower tower produces a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = \pi_{p+q}(\Sigma^q_s\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_{q+1}(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{Y})_1(X)).$$

Since both spectral sequences are isomorphic, we conclude that the map

$$(A^{\Delta}_Y)_0 \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(A^{\Delta}_Y)_1$$

is a stable weak equivalence in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$. It is proved in a similar fashion that all other vertical maps in the diagram above are stable weak equivalences in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$, and hence so are their desuspensions. ☐

We are now in a position to prove the main result of the section.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose the groups $H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y)$ are homotopy invariant in the first argument and satisfy the cancelation property. Then the bispectra $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y$ and $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$ are motivically fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}_{mot}(Sm/k)$ and the maps*

$$A_Y \to \widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y, \quad A_{0,Y} \to \widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$$

are motivic weak equivalences of bispectra. As a result, one has a tower in $SH(k)$

$$\cdots \to \Sigma^{q+1}_s\Sigma^{q+1}_{\mathbb{G}}A_Y \to \Sigma^q_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}A_Y \to \cdots \to A_Y, \tag{10}$$

in which successive cones are of the form $\Sigma^q_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}A_{0,Y}$. This tower produces a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = SH(k)(X_+, \Sigma^{-p}_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}A_{0,Y}) \Longrightarrow SH(k)(X_+, \Sigma^{-p-q}_s A_Y),$$

which is isomorphic to the Gayson spectral sequence for $\mathscr{A}$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.3 $(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_0$ is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$. It is proved in a similar way that each $(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_q$, $q > 0$, is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$. So the bispectrum $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y$ is level motivically fibrant. Theorem 4.6 implies it is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}_{mot}(Sm/k)$. The fact that the bispectrum $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$ is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}_{mot}(Sm/k)$ follows from Lemma 4.5 and the homotopy invariance of the groups $H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(X,Y)$ in the first argument.

Let us show that $A_Y \to \widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y$ is a motivic weak equivalence of bispectra. This map is the composition

$$A_Y \to A^{\Delta}_Y \to \widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y,$$

where the right arrow is a Nisnevich local equivalence, hence a motivic weak equivalence. The left arrow is a motivic weak equivalence by [16, 3.8]. Similarly, $A_{0,Y} \to \widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}$ is a motivic weak equivalence of bispectra.

The Grayson tower of bispectra (8) in $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}})(Sm/k)$ yields a tower of bispectra in $SH(k)$

$$\cdots \to \Sigma^{q+1}_s\Sigma^{q+1}_{\mathbb{G}}A_Y \to \Sigma^q_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}A_Y \to \cdots \to A_Y, \tag{11}$$

in which successive cones are of the form $\Sigma^q_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}A_{0,Y}$. This tower produces a spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = SH(k)(X_+, \Sigma^{-p}_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}A_{0,Y}) \Longrightarrow SH(k)(X_+, \Sigma^{-p-q}_s A_Y),$$

which is the same as the spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = SH(k)(X_+, \Sigma^{-p}_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y}) \Longrightarrow SH(k)(X_+, \Sigma^{-p-q}_s \widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y).$$

Since all bispectra involved into the latter spectral sequence are motivically fibrant, it follows that it is isomorphic to the spectral sequence

$$E^2_{pq} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))}(X_+, \Sigma^{-p}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,Y})_q) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))}(X_+, \Sigma^{-p-q}_s(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_0).$$

It is plainly isomorphic to the Grayson spectral sequence (7). $\square$

5. POSTNIKOV TOWERS IN $SH_{S^1}(k)$ AND $SH(k)$

Voevodsky [25] has defined a canonical tower on the motivic stable homotopy category $SH_{S^1}(k)$, which is called the *motivic Postnikov tower*.

Let $\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$ be the localizing subcategory of $SH_{S^1}(k)$ generated by objects of the form $\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} E$, $E \in SH_{S^1}(k)$. This gives us the tower of localizing subcategories

$$\cdots \subset \Sigma^{n+1}_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k) \subset \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k) \subset \cdots \subset SH_{S^1}(k).$$

Take $E \in SH_{S^1}(k)$ and consider the cohomological functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)}(-,E) : \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k) \to Ab.$$

By [17] this functor is represented by an object $r_n E$ of $\Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$. Sending E to $r_n E$ defines a right adjoint $r_n : SH_{S^1}(k) \to \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$ to the inclusion $i_n : \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k) \to SH_{S^1}(k)$. Let $f_n := i_n \circ r_n$ with counit $f_n \to \mathrm{id}$. Thus, for each $E \in SH_{S^1}(k)$, there is a canonical tower in $SH_{S^1}(k)$

$$\cdots \to f_{n+1}E \to f_n E \to \cdots \to f_0 E = E,$$

the *motivic Postnikov tower for S^1-spectra*. We write $f_{n/n+r}E$ for the cofibre of $f_{n+r} \to f_n E$; we use the notation $s_n := f_{n/n+1}$ to denote the nth slice in the Postnikov tower.

Let $EM : Ab \to Sp^{\Sigma}$ be the Eilenberg–Mac Lane functor in the sense of [5, Appendix A] and let $\mathbb{Z}(n)$, $n \geqslant 0$, be the Suslin–Voevodsky complexes [21].

Proposition 5.1. *(*[13, 1.4.3]*) Suppose k is a perfect field. Then for every $n \geqslant 0$ we have $EM(\mathbb{Z}(n)) \in \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$.*

Call $E \in SH_{S^1}(k)$ $\mathbb{A}^1$-*connected* if for each $X \in Sm/k$, the spectrum $\widetilde{E}(X)$ is -1-connected, where $\widetilde{E}$ is a fibrant model for E in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$.

Proposition 5.2. *(*[13, 3.1.4]*) Suppose k is a perfect field. If $E \in SH_{S^1}(k)$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected, then $f_n E$ and $s_n E$ are $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected for every $n \geqslant 0$.*

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose k is a perfect field and $\mathscr{A}$ is a bivariant additive category with $(\mathfrak{Aut})$-property. Suppose further that each $A_{0,Y}(n) \in \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$. If the presheaves $H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(-,Y)$ are homotopy invariant, then $A_Y(n) \in \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$ for every $n \geqslant 0$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3 $(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_0$ is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$. It is proved in a similar way that each $(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_n$, $n > 0$, is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$. The map $A_Y(n) \to (\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_n$ is a motivic weak equivalence. It is the composition

$$A_Y(n) \to (A^{\Delta}_Y)_n \to (\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_n,$$

where the right arrow is a Nisnevich local equivalence, hence a motivic weak equivalence. The left arrow is a motivic weak equivalence by [16, 3.8].

We have $A_{0,Y}(m) \cong f_n(A_{0,Y}(m)) \in \Sigma^n_{\mathbb{G}} SH_{S^1}(k)$ for all $m \geqslant n$. Applying the functor f_n to the Grayson tower (6), we get a map of towers of motivically fibrant presheaves of spectra

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n+1}_s f_{n+1}((\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma^n_s f_n((\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_n) \arrow[d] \\
\cdots \arrow[r] & \Sigma^{n+1}_s (\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_{n+1} \arrow[r] & \Sigma^n_s (\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_Y)_n,
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which successive cones are of the form $\Sigma_s^{n+q}(\widetilde{A}_{0,Y}^{\Delta})_{n+q}$.

For every $X \in Sm/k$, Theorem 4.2 implies the lower tower produces a strongly convergent spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^2 = \pi_{p+q}(\Sigma_s^{n+q}(\widetilde{A}_{0,Y}^{\Delta})_{n+q}(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}((\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n(X)).$$

To show that the spectral sequence produced by the upper tower

$$E_{pq}^2 = \pi_{p+q}(\Sigma_s^{n+q}(\widetilde{A}_{0,Y}^{\Delta})_{n+q}(X)) \Longrightarrow \pi_{p+q}(f_n((\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n)(X))$$

is strongly convergent, we need to know that each $f_n((\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n)$ has the same connectivity properties as $(\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n$. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that if $(\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n(X)$ is i-connected, then so is $f_n((\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n)(X)$.

Thus the second spectral sequence is strongly convergent. We conclude that the map in $\mathrm{Ho}(Sp^{\Sigma})$

$$f_n((\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n)(X) \to (\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n(X)$$

is an isomorphism. We see that $A_Y(n)$ is isomorphic in $SH_{S^1}(k)$ to $f_n((\widetilde{A}_Y^{\Delta})_n) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^n SH_{S^1}(k)$. ☐

Voevodsky [24] defines the slice filtration in $SH(k)$ just as it is defined in $SH_{S^1}(k)$. Let $SH^{eff}(k)$ be the smallest localizing subcategory of $SH(k)$ containing all suspension spectra $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty}\Sigma_s^{\infty}X_+$ with $X \in Sm/k$; this is the same as the smallest localizing subcategory containing all the $\mathbb{G}$-suspension spectra $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty}E$ for $E \in SH_{S^1}(k)$. For each integer p, let $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^p SH^{eff}(k)$ denote the smallest localizing subcategory of $SH(k)$ containing the $\mathbb{G}$-spectra $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^p\mathscr{E}$ for $\mathscr{E} \in SH^{eff}(k)$. The inclusion $i_p : \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^p SH^{eff}(k) \to SH^{eff}(k)$ admits the right adjoint $r_p : SH^{eff}(k) \to \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^p SH^{eff}(k)$; setting $f_p := i_p \circ r_p$, one has for each $\mathscr{E} \in SH(k)$ the functorial *slice tower*

$$\cdots \to f_{d+1}\mathscr{E} \to f_d\mathscr{E} \to \cdots \to f_0\mathscr{E} \to f_{-1}\mathscr{E} \to \cdots \to \mathscr{E}.$$

As for the slice tower in $SH_{S^1}(k)$, the existence of the adjoint follows from [17] and the map $f_p\mathscr{E} \to \mathscr{E}$ is universal for maps $\mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{E}$, $\mathscr{F} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^p SH^{eff}(k)$. The cofiber of $f_{d+1}\mathscr{E} \to f_d\mathscr{E}$ is denoted by $s_d\mathscr{E}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.3 the bispectra A_Y and $A_{0,Y}$ belong to $SH^{eff}(k)$.*

Proof. We prove the assertion for A_Y, because the same arguments will hold for $A_{0,Y}$. It is shown similar to [18, A.33] that every bispectrum $\mathscr{E}$ is the colimit of a natural sequence

$$Tr_0\mathscr{E} \to Tr_1\mathscr{E} \to \cdots,$$

where $Tr_i\mathscr{E}$ stands fort the ith truncation. Moreover, $Tr_i\mathscr{E}$ is naturally stably equivalent to $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}^i((\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty}E_i)^f)$ ("f" for fibrant resolution).

Lemma 5.3 implies $(\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty}A_Y(i))^f \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^i SH^{eff}(k)$, and hence $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}^i((\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty}A_Y(i))^f) \in SH^{eff}(k)$. We see that A_Y is the colimit of the sequence

$$Tr_0A_Y \to Tr_1A_Y \to \cdots,$$

where each Tr_iA_Y is in $SH^{eff}(k)$. It follows from [18, A.34] that A_Y is isomorphic in $SH(k)$ to the homotopy colimit of Tr_iA_Y-s, which is in $SH^{eff}(k)$. We conclude that $A_Y \in SH^{eff}(k)$. ☐

6. THE BISPECTRUM $KGL_{\mathscr{A},Y}$

Given an additive category $\mathscr{M}$, Quillen defines a new category $S^{-1}S\mathscr{M}$ whose objects are pairs (A,B) of objects of $\mathscr{M}$. A morphism $(A,B)\to(C,D)$ in $S^{-1}S\mathscr{M}$ is given by a pair of split monomorphisms

$$f : A \overset{\twoheadleftarrow}{\rightarrowtail} C, \quad g : B \overset{\twoheadleftarrow}{\rightarrowtail} D$$

together with an isomorphism $h : \operatorname{Coker} f \to \operatorname{Coker} g$. By a split monomorphism we mean a monomorphism together with a chosen splitting. The nerve of the category $S^{-1}S\mathscr{M}$ which is also denoted by $S^{-1}S\mathscr{M}$ is homotopy equivalent to Quillen's K-theory space of $\mathscr{M}$ by [8].

We can regard $S^{-1}S\mathscr{M}$ as a simplicial additive category. Its symmetric Grayson K-theory spectrum will be denoted by $K^{Gr}(S^{-1}S\mathscr{M})$. It follows from the proof of [10, 9.3] that the map $\operatorname{Ob}\mathscr{M}\to S^{-1}S\mathscr{M}$ sending M to $(M,0)$ induces a homotopy equivalence

$$S^{\oplus}\mathscr{M}\to S^{\oplus}(S^{-1}S)\mathscr{M}.$$

Therefore the induced map of symmetric spectra

$$K^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})\to K^{Gr}(S^{-1}S\mathscr{M})$$

is a stable weak equivalence, which is a level weak equivalence in positive degrees.

Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a bivariant additive category. Then $S^{-1}S\mathscr{A}$ is a simplicial bivariant additive category. For any $Y\in AffSm/k$ we can form a bispectrum

$$S^{-1}SA_Y = (S^{-1}SA_Y(0), S^{-1}SA_Y(1),\dots),$$

where each $S^{-1}SA_Y(n) = K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}S^{-1}S\mathscr{A}(-,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}))$, and define a natural map of bispectra

$$s : A_Y \to S^{-1}SA_Y.$$

This map is a level stable weak equivalence.

Consider the category of topological symmetric spectra $TopSp^{\Sigma}$ (see [19, section I.1]). We can apply adjoint functors "geometric realization", denoted by $|-|$, and "singular complex", denoted by $\mathscr{S}$, levelwise to go back and forth between simplicial and topological symmetric spectra

$$|-| : Sp^{\Sigma} \rightleftarrows TopSp^{\Sigma} : \mathscr{S}. \tag{12}$$

Remark 6.1. By the standard abuse of notation $|-|$ denotes both the functor from Sp^{Σ} to $TopSp^{\Sigma}$ and the realization functor from simplicial spectra to spectra. It will always be clear from the context which of either meanings is used.

Given a (multisimplicial) additive category $\mathscr{M}$, denote by $\hat{K}^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})$ the symmetric spectrum $\mathscr{S}|K^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})|$. The unit of the adjunction induces a map of symmetric spectra

$$K^{Gr}(\mathscr{M})\to\hat{K}^{Gr}(\mathscr{M}),$$

functorial in $\mathscr{M}$. Observe that $|K^{Gr}(S^{-1}S\mathscr{M})|$ is an Ω-spectrum in $TopSp^{\Sigma}$, and hence so is $\hat{K}^{Gr}(S^{-1}S\mathscr{M})$ in Sp^{Σ}.

Suppose $\mathscr{A}$ is a bivariant additive category satisfying the property $(\mathfrak{Aut})$. For any $Y\in AffSm/k$ we can form a bispectrum

$$S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y = (S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y(0), S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y(1),\dots),$$

where each $S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y(n) = \hat{K}^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}S^{-1}S\mathscr{A}(-,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}))$, and define a natural map of bispectra

$$t : S^{-1}SA_Y \to S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y.$$

This map is a level stable weak equivalence.

By [10, 9.4] and [27, p. 16] there is a natural map of symmetric topological spectra

$$|K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}S^{-1}S\mathscr{A}(X,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1}))|\to\Omega|K^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)(S^{-1}S)\mathscr{A}(X,Y))|.$$

It gives a natural map in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$

$$v_1:S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y(1)\to\Omega\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)(S^{-1}S)\mathscr{A}(-,Y)).$$

We can get more generally a map (see [27, p. 16] as well)

$$v_n:S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y(n)\to\Omega\hat{K}^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}(S^{-1}S)(S^{-1}S)\mathscr{A}(-,Y\times\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n-1}))\to\cdots\to\Omega^n\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)^{n+1}\mathscr{A}(-,Y)).$$

One sets

$$\varkappa_0:=\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(v_1)\circ\hat{a}_0:\hat{K}^{Gr}(S^{-1}S\mathscr{A}(-,Y))\to\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}\Omega\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)^2\mathscr{A}(-,Y)),$$

where $\hat{a}_0:\hat{A}_Y(0)\to\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}\hat{A}_Y(1)$ is the structure map. Applying the above construction to the multisimplicial bivariant additive category $(S^{-1}S)^n\mathscr{A}$, we get a map

$$\varkappa_n:\Omega^n\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)^{n+1}\mathscr{A}(-,Y))\to\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}\Omega^{n+1}\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)^{n+2}\mathscr{A}(-,Y)).$$

Definition 6.2. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a bivariant additive category with $(\mathfrak{Aut})$-property and let $Y\in AffSm/k$. Then the bispectrum $KGL_{\mathscr{A},Y}$ is defined by the sequence in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$

$$(\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)\mathscr{A}(-,Y)),\Omega\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)^2\mathscr{A}(-,Y)),\Omega^2\hat{K}^{Gr}((S^{-1}S)^3\mathscr{A}(-,Y)),\ldots).$$

Its structure maps are given by the maps $\varkappa_n$-s.

The maps v_n-s determine a map of bispectra

$$v:S^{-1}S\hat{A}_Y\to KGL_{\mathscr{A},Y}.$$

So we have a map of bispectra

$$\chi:=v\circ t\circ s:A_Y\to KGL_{\mathscr{A},Y}. \tag{13}$$

In the next section we shall work with the bispectrum $KGL_{\mathscr{A},\operatorname{Spec}k}$ for a certain bivariant additive category $\mathscr{A}$. It will be shown that it represents Quillen's K-theory of algebraic varieties.

7. COMPARING GRAYSON'S AND SLICE TOWERS FOR KGL

In this section we prove the main results of the paper. For technical reasons we have dealt with general bivariant additive categories so far. Below a concrete example of such a category $\mathscr{A}$ is given. It will lead to solutions for the problems mentioned in the introduction. Its definition is extracted from [6]. We start with preparations.

Let $U,X\in Sm/k$. Define $\operatorname{Supp}(U\times X/X)$ as the set of all closed subsets in $U\times X$ of the form $A=\cup A_i$, where each A_i is a closed irreducible subset in $U\times X$ which is finite and surjective over U. The empty subset in $U\times X$ is also regarded as an element of $\operatorname{Supp}(U\times X/X)$.

Given $U,X\in Sm/k$ and $A\in\operatorname{Supp}(U\times X/X)$, let $I_A\subset\mathscr{O}_{U\times X}$ be the ideal sheaf of the closed set $A\subset U\times X$. Denote by A_m the closed subscheme in $U\times X$ of the form $(A,\mathscr{O}_{U\times X}/I_A^m)$. If $m=0$, then A_m is the empty subscheme. Define $\operatorname{SubSch}(U\times X/X)$ as the set of all closed subschemes in $U\times X$ of the form A_m.

For any $Z\in\operatorname{SubSch}(U\times X/X)$ we write $p_U^Z:Z\to U$ to denote $p\circ i$, where $i:Z\hookrightarrow U\times X$ is the closed embedding and $p:U\times X\to U$ is the projection. If there is no likelihood of confusion we shall write p_U instead of p_U^Z, dropping Z from notation.

Clearly, for any $Z \in \mathrm{SubSch}(U \times X/X)$ the reduced scheme Z^{red}, regarded as a closed subset of $U \times X$, belongs to $\mathrm{Supp}(U \times X/X)$.

For any $U, X \in Sm/k$ we define objects of $\mathscr{A}(U,X)$ as equivalence classes for the triples

$$(n, Z, \varphi : p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_Z) \to M_n(\mathscr{O}_U)),$$

where n is a nonnegative integer, $Z \in \mathrm{SubSch}(U \times X/X)$ and φ is a non-unital homomorphism of sheaves of $\mathscr{O}_U$-algebras. Let $p(\varphi)$ be the idempotent $\varphi(1) \in M_n(\Gamma(U, \mathscr{O}_U))$, then $P(\varphi) = \mathrm{Im}(p(\varphi))$ can be regarded as a $p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_Z)$-module by means of φ.

By definition, two triples (n, Z, φ), (n', Z', φ') are equivalent if $n = n'$ and there is a triple (n'', Z'', φ'') such that $n = n' = n''$, $Z, Z' \subset Z''$ are closed subschemes in Z'', and the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_Z) \arrow[rr, "\varphi"] & & M_n(\mathscr{O}_U) \\
& p''_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_{Z''}) \arrow[ul, "can"] \arrow[ur, "\varphi''"'] &
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
p'_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_{Z'}) \arrow[rr, "\varphi'"] & & M_n(\mathscr{O}_U) \\
& p''_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_{Z''}) \arrow[ul, "can"] \arrow[ur, "\varphi''"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative. We shall often denote an equivalence class for the triples by Φ. Though Z is not uniquely defined by Φ, nevertheless we shall also refer to $Z \subset U \times X$ as the *support* of Φ.

Given $\Phi, \Phi' \in \mathscr{A}(U,X)$ we first equalize supports Z, Z' of the objects Φ, Φ' and then set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}(U,X)}(\Phi, \Phi') = \mathrm{Hom}_{p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_Z)}(P(\varphi), P(\varphi')).$$

Given any three objects $\Phi, \Phi', \Phi'' \in \mathscr{A}(U,X)$ a composition law

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}(U,X)}(\Phi, \Phi') \circ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}(U,X)}(\Phi', \Phi'') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}(U,X)}(\Phi, \Phi'')$$

is defined in the obvious way. This makes therefore $\mathscr{A}(U,X)$ an additive category. The zero object is the equivalence class of the triple $(0, \emptyset, 0)$. By definition,

$$\Phi_1 \oplus \Phi_2 = (n_1 + n_2, Z_1 \cup Z_2, p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_{Z_1 \cup Z_2}) \to p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_{Z_1}) \times p_{U,*}(\mathscr{O}_{Z_2}) \to M_{n_1}(\mathscr{O}_U)) \times M_{n_2}(\mathscr{O}_U)) \hookrightarrow M_{n_1+n_2}(\mathscr{O}_U)).$$

Clearly, $P(\varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2) \cong P(\varphi_1) \oplus P(\varphi_2)$.

By [6] the additive categories $\mathscr{A}(U,X)$ are functorial in both arguments and the rule

$$(U,X) \in Sm/k \times Sm/k \mapsto \mathscr{A}(U,X) \in AddCats$$

determines a bivariant additive category with $(\mathfrak{Aut})$-property. Moreover,

$$d \mapsto \mathscr{A}(U \times \Delta^d, X)$$

is a $k[\Delta]$-linear additive category.

Throughout this section by $\mathscr{A}$ we mean this bivariant additive category.

In fact, we have a spectral category $\mathbb{K}$ whose objects are those of Sm/k, i.e. a category enriched over Sp^Σ. Its morphism symmetric spectra are

$$\mathbb{K}(U,X) = K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(U,X)).$$

We refer the reader to [6] for details. One can associate a ringoid $\mathbb{K}_0$ to it. By definition the objects of $\mathbb{K}_0$ are those of Sm/k and

$$\mathbb{K}_0(U,X) = \pi_0(\mathbb{K}(U,X)), \quad U, X \in Sm/k.$$

In what follows we shall write $H^{p,q}_{\mathbb{K}}(U, \mathbb{Z})$ to denote $H^{p,q}_{\mathscr{A}}(U, \mathrm{Spec}\, k)$. In Remark 4.1 we defined complexes of presheaves $C^*(A_{0,Y}(q))$ (indexing is cohomological). We set,

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{K}}(q) := C^*(A_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\, k}(q)[-q])_{\mathrm{nis}}.$$

It follows from Remark 4.1 that

$$H_{\mathbb{K}}^{p,q}(U,\mathbb{Z}) = H_{\mathrm{nis}}^{p}(U,\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{K}}(q)).$$

We shall also denote by KGL the bispectrum $KGL_{\mathscr{A},\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$.

There is another ringoid which is important in our analysis. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(U,X)$, $U,X \in Sm/k$, be the additive category of big coherent $\mathscr{O}_{U\times X}$-modules P such that $\mathrm{Supp}\,P$ is finite over U and the coherent $\mathscr{O}_U$-module $(p_U)_*(P)$ is locally free (see [4, 6, 10]). We shall write $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(U)$ to denote $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(U,\mathrm{Spec}\,k)$. Define a ringoid $K_0^{\oplus}$ as

$$K_0^{\oplus}(U,X) = K_0(\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(U,X)), \quad U,X \in Sm/k.$$

Here the right hand side stands for the Grothendieck group of the additive category $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(U,X)$.

If X is affine then there is a natural additive functor (see [6] for details)

$$F_{U,X} : \mathscr{A}(U,X) \to \tilde{\mathscr{P}}(U,X)$$

which is an equivalence of categories whenever U is affine. By [6] $F_{U,X}$ is functorial in U. These functors can naturally be extended to a map of ringoids

$$F : \mathbb{K}_0 \to K_0^{\oplus}.$$

Given $n \geqslant 0$ we denote by $\mathbb{Z}^{Gr}(n)$ (respectively $\mathbb{Z}(n)$) the Grayson complex [20, 27] corresponding to the ringoid $K_0^{\oplus}$ (repectively the Suslin–Voevodsky [21] complex corresponding to the ringoid Cor). The complexes are defined in the same fashion as $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{K}}(n)$. Recall that motivic cohomology is defined as

$$H_{\mathscr{M}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) := H_{\mathrm{nis}}^{p}(X,\mathbb{Z}(q)).$$

Theorem 7.1 (Suslin [20])**.** *For any $n \geqslant 0$, the canonical homomorphism of complexes of Nisnevich sheaves $\mathbb{Z}^{Gr}(n) \to \mathbb{Z}(n)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Corollary 7.2. *For any $n \geqslant 0$, the canonical homomorphism of complexes of Nisnevich sheaves $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{K}}(n) \to \mathbb{Z}^{Gr}(n)$, induced by the map of ringoids $F : \mathbb{K}_0 \to K_0^{\oplus}$, is an isomorphism. Hence, for any smooth scheme $X \in Sm/k$, cohomology groups $H_{\mathbb{K}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ coincide with motivic cohomology groups $H_{\mathscr{M}}^{p,q}(X,\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. As we have mentioned above, the additive functor $F_{U,X} : \mathscr{A}(U,X) \to \mathscr{P}(U,X)$ is an equivalence whenever U and X are affine. It follows that the map of simplicial abelian groups

$$(d \mapsto \mathbb{K}_0(U \times \Delta^d, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})) \to (d \mapsto K_0^{\oplus}(U \times \Delta^d, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})), \quad n \geqslant 0,$$

is an isomorphism for every smooth affine scheme U. Hence the map of simplicial abelian sheaves

$$(d \mapsto \mathbb{K}_0(- \times \Delta^d, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})_{\mathrm{nis}}) \to (d \mapsto K_0^{\oplus}(- \times \Delta^d, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times n})_{\mathrm{nis}})$$

is an isomorphism of motivic spaces. Our assertion now follows. ☐

Corollary 7.3. *The cohomology groups $H_{\mathbb{K}}^{*,*}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ are homotopy invariant and satisfy the cancelation property.*

Proof. This follows from [20, 3.1; 4.13] and Corollary 7.2. ☐

Corollary 7.4. *Let k be a perfect field. Then $A_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k}(n) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{n} SH_{S^1}(k)$ for each $n \geqslant 0$.*

Proof. It follows from [13, 1.4.3] that each complex $\mathbb{Z}(n)$, regarded as a presheaf of symmetric spectra, is in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{n} SH_{S^1}(k)$. Our assertion now follows from Theorem 7.1 and Corollary 7.2. ☐

By definition, by the K-theory of X we shall mean the symmetric spectrum

$$K(X) = K^{Gr}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(X)).$$

We set $G_X := F_{X,\operatorname{Spec} k}$. Observe that G_X is functorial in X. So we get a map in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$

$$G : K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-,\operatorname{Spec} k)) \to K(-).$$

Proposition 7.5. *G is a Nisnevich local weak equivalence and induces canonical isomorphisms*

$$K_p^{\mathscr{A}}(X,\operatorname{Spec} k) \cong K_p(X),$$

for any smooth scheme X and any integer p.

Proof. The fact that G is a Nisnevich local weak equivalence follows from the fact that G_X is an equivalence of categories whenever X is affine. So we also have that

$$K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-\times\Delta^d,\operatorname{Spec} k)) \to K(-\times\Delta^d), \quad d \geqslant 0,$$

is a Nisnevich local weak equivalence in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$.

Consider a commutative diagram in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-,\operatorname{Spec} k)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "G"'] & {|K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-\times\Delta^{\cdot},\operatorname{Spec} k))|} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {|K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-\times\Delta^{\cdot},\operatorname{Spec} k))|_f} \arrow[d, "\gamma"] \\
K(-) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & {|K(-\times\Delta^{\cdot})|} \arrow[r, "\beta"] & {|K(-\times\Delta^{\cdot})|_f}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

Here the lower f-symbol refers to a fibrant replacement functor in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k)$. The vertical arrows are Nisnevich local weak equivalences. The left horizontal arrows are motivic weak equivalences by [16, 3.8].

Since $K(-)$ is homotopy invariant, then α is a stable weak equivalence. By [22] $K(-)$ is Nisnevich excisive, and hence β,γ are stable weak equivalences. It remains to observe that

$$K_p^{\mathscr{A}}(X,\operatorname{Spec} k) = \pi_p(|K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-\times\Delta^{\cdot},\operatorname{Spec} k))|_f(X))$$

for any $X \in Sm/k$. ☐

Corollary 7.6. *Let $K(-) \to \tilde{K}(-)$ be any fibrant replacement of $K(-)$ in the stable projective model structure of $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$. Then the composite map*

$$K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-,\operatorname{Spec} k)) \xrightarrow{G} K(-) \to \tilde{K}(-)$$

is a motivic fibrant replacement of $K^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-,\operatorname{Spec} k))$ in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$.

Proof. All maps of diagram (14) are motivic weak equivalences. The proof of the preceding proposition shows that $\tilde{K}(-)$ is fibrant in $Pre^{\Sigma}_{mot}(Sm/k)$. ☐

The next theorem says that the bispectrum KGL represents algebraic K-theory.

Theorem 7.7. *For any smooth scheme X one has canonical isomorphisms*

$$KGL^{p,q}(X_+) = SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_{s}X_+, \Sigma^{p-q}_{s}\Sigma^{q}_{\mathbb{G}}KGL) \cong K_{2q-p}(X),$$

where $K(X)$ is algebraic K-theory of X.

Proof. Given a bispectrum X, let X^{Δ} be the bispectrum $(|X_0(-\times\Delta^{\cdot})|,|X_1(-\times\Delta^{\cdot})|,\ldots)$. Taking a fibrant replacement of X^{Δ} in the level Nisnevich local model structure of $Pre^{\Sigma,\mathbb{G}}(Sm/k)$, we get a bispectrum X^{Δ}_f. So one has maps of bispectra

$$X \to X^{\Delta} \to X^{\Delta}_f,$$

where the left arrow is a level motivic weak equivalence by [16, 3.8] and the right arrow is a level Nisnevich local weak equivalence.

Consider the bispectra $S^{-1}S\hat{A}^{\Delta}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k,f}$ and KGL^{Δ}_f. Note that the first bispectrum is equivalent to $\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$. We claim that each structure map

$$\rho_n : (KGL^{\Delta}_f)_n = (KGL^{\Delta}_n)_f \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(KGL^{\Delta}_{n+1})_f$$

is a stable weak equivalence in $Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$. Corollary 7.6 and [10, 9.3] imply each $(KGL^{\Delta}_n)_f$ has homotopy type of $\Omega^n\tilde{K}(-)\in Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k)$.

By construction, the map ρ_0 factors as

$$S^{-1}S\hat{A}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}(0)^{\Delta}_f \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}S^{-1}S\hat{A}_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}(1)^{\Delta}_f \to \Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(KGL^{\Delta}_1)_f.$$

Corollary 7.3 and the Cancelation Theorem for K-theory 4.6 implies the left arrow is a stable weak equivalence. It follows from [10, 9.6] that a homotopy cofiber of the right arrow is $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}\Omega(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k})_0$. By Corollary 7.2 we have

$$\pi_{p-1}(\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}\Omega(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k})_0(X)) \cong H^{p,0}_{\mathbb{K}}(X\wedge\mathbb{G}_m,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{p,0}_{\mathscr{M}}(X\wedge\mathbb{G}_m,\mathbb{Z}), \quad X\in Sm/k, p\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

The proof of [25, 4.2] implies $H^{p,0}_{\mathscr{M}}(X\wedge\mathbb{G}_m,\mathbb{Z})=0$. So $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}(\widetilde{A}^{\Delta}_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k})_0$ is zero in $\mathrm{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$, and hence ρ_0 is a stable weak equivalence. The fact that each ρ_n is a stable weak equivalence is proved in a similar fashion. The only difference with ρ_0 is that one iterates the $S^{-1}S$-construction at each step.

We conclude that KGL^{Δ}_f is a motivically fibrant bispectrum. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} KGL^{p,q}(X_+) = SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^{p-q}_s\Sigma^q_{\mathbb{G}}KGL^{\Delta}_f) &\cong SH_{S^1}(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^{p-q}_s(KGL^{\Delta}_f)_q) \\ &\cong SH_{S^1}(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^{p-q}_s\Omega^q\tilde{K}(-)) \cong K_{2q-p}(X), \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. ☐

Lemma 7.8. *Let k be a perfect field. Then the bispectrum $A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$ is isomorphic in $SH(k)$ to $f_0(KGL)$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollaries 7.3, 7.4 and Lemma 5.4 that $A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$ is in $SH^{eff}(k)$. Then map (13) of bispectra $\chi : A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}\to KGL$. factors as

$$A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}\xrightarrow{\theta} f_0(KGL)\xrightarrow{\zeta} KGL.$$

For any $X\in Sm/k$ and any $p\in\mathbb{Z}$ the induced map

$$\zeta_* : SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^p_s f_0(KGL)) \to SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^p_s KGL)$$

is an isomorphism by construction of $f_0(KGL)$. On the other hand, Theorem 7.7 implies the induced map

$$\chi_* : SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^p_s A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) \to SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^p_s KGL)$$

is an isomorphism, and hence so is

$$\theta_* : SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^p_s A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) \to SH(k)(\Sigma^{\infty}_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma^{\infty}_s X_+,\Sigma^p_s f_0(KGL)).$$

Since $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^\infty \Sigma_s^\infty X_+$ generate the compactly generated triangulated category $SH^{eff}(k)$, we conclude that θ is an isomorphism in $SH(k)$. $\square$

The following result gives an explicit model for the non-negative part of the slice tower of the bispetrum KGL.

Theorem 7.9. *Let k be a perfect field. Then the tower* (11) *of bispectra in $SH(k)$*

$$\cdots \to \Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \to \Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \to \cdots \to A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$$

is isomorphic to the tower

$$\cdots \to f_{q+1}(KGL) \to f_q(KGL) \to \cdots \to f_0(KGL).$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.8 there is an isomorphism $\theta : A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \to f_0(KGL)$ in $SH(k)$. Suppose an isomorphism $\theta_q : \Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \cong f_q(KGL)$, $q \geqslant 0$, is constructed. Since $\Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} SH(k)$ and $s_q(KGL)$ is orthogonal to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} SH(k)$, it follows that there is a unique morphism

$$\theta_{q+1} : \Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \to f_{q+1}(KGL)$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta_{q+1}"'] & \Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k} \arrow[d, "\theta_q"] \\
f_{q+1}(KGL) \arrow[r] & f_q(KGL)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. We claim that θ_{q+1} is an isomorphism in $SH(k)$.

By Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 7.3 a homotopy cofiber of the upper horizontal arrow is $\Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$. Therefore,

$$SH(k)(\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^\infty \Sigma_s^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^p \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) = SH(k)(\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^\infty \Sigma_s^\infty X_+, \Sigma_s^p A_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) \cong H_{\mathbb{K}}^{p,0}(X \wedge \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{Z})$$

for any $X \in Sm/k$ and integer p. The proof of Theorem 7.7 shows that $H_{\mathbb{K}}^{p,0}(X \wedge \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and hence $f_{q+1}(\Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{0,\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) = 0$.

Since $f_{q+1}(s_q(KGL)) = 0$, we see that the horizontal arrows of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_{q+1}(\Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_{q+1}(\theta_{q+1})"'] & f_{q+1}(\Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}) \arrow[d, "f_{q+1}(\theta_q)"] \\
f_{q+1}(f_{q+1}(KGL)) \arrow[r] & f_{q+1}(f_q(KGL))
\end{tikzcd}$$

are isomorphisms. But $f_{q+1}(\theta_q)$ is an isomorphism, and hence so is $f_{q+1}(\theta_{q+1})$. Lemma 7.8 implies $\Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} A_{\mathrm{Spec}\,k}$ is in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} SH(k)$. Since $f_{q+1}(KGL)$ belongs to $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1} SH(k)$ as well and $f_{q+1}(\theta_{q+1})$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that θ_{q+1} is an isomorphism. $\square$

One of the equivalent models for the motivic Eilenberg–Mac Lane bispectrum $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is as follows. Let Cor be the ringoid of finite correspondences over Sm/k (see, e.g., [21]). The cube of sheaves $Cor(-, \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$ is defined similar to the cube $K_0^{Gr}(\mathscr{A}(-, Y \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n}))$. Its vertices are sheaves $Cor(-, \mathbb{G}_m^{\times k})$, $k \leqslant n$. By definition,

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}} = (EM(Cor(-, \mathrm{Spec}\,k)), EM(C^{\oplus} Cor(-, \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1})), \ldots),$$

where EM stands for the Eilenberg–Mac Lane functor in the sense of [5, Appendix A] from abelian groups to Sp^{Σ}.

The composite map of ringoids

$$\mathbb{K}_0 \xrightarrow{F} K_0^{\oplus} \to Cor$$

(see [20, 27] for the definition of the second arrow) yields a map of bispectra

$$\lambda : A_{0,\operatorname{Spec} k} \to H_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.7 and Corollaries 7.2-7.3 shows that λ is an isomorphism in $SH(k)$.

The next result was first conjectured by Voevodsky [24, 25] and solved by Levine [15] by using the coniveau tower (over perfect fields).

Theorem 7.10. *Let k be a perfect field. Then for every $q \geqslant 0$ we have isomorphisms in $SH(k)$*

$$s_q(KGL) \cong \Sigma_s^q \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q H_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 7.9 shows that there is a commutative diagram in $SH(k)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma_s^{q+1}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1}A_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta_{q+1}"'] & \Sigma_s^q\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\theta_q"] & \Sigma_s^q\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{0,\operatorname{Spec} k} \arrow[r] & \Sigma_s^{q+2}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{q+1}A_{\operatorname{Spec} k} \arrow[d, "\Sigma_s \theta_{q+1}"] \\
f_{q+1}(KGL) \arrow[r] & f_q(KGL) \arrow[r] & s_q(KGL) \arrow[r] & \Sigma_s f_{q+1}(KGL),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. Since $SH(k)$ is triangulated, then there exists an isomorphism

$$\Sigma_s^q\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{0,\operatorname{Spec} k} \cong s_q(KGL).$$

It remains to observe that $\lambda : A_{0,\operatorname{Spec} k} \to H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ induces an isomorphism $\Sigma_s^q\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q A_{0,\operatorname{Spec} k} \cong \Sigma_s^q\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in $SH(k)$. ☐

Let $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times q})(X)$ be the additive category whose objects are the tuples $(P,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_q)$ with $P \in \tilde{\mathscr{P}}(X)$ and $(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_q)$ commuting automorphisms. The cube of affine schemes $\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q}$ gives rise to a cube of additive categories $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q})(X)$ with vertices being $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times q})(X)$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant q$. The edges of the cube are given by the additive functors $i_s : \tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times k-1})(X) \to \tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\times k})(X)$

$$(P,(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_{k-1})) \longmapsto (P,(\theta_1,\dots,1,\dots,\theta_{k-1})),$$

where 1 is the sth coordinate.

Grayson's machinery [10] (see [27, 5] as well) produces a tower in $\operatorname{Ho}(Pre_{nis}^{\Sigma}(Sm/k))$

$$\cdots \to \Sigma_s^q|K^{Gr}(C^{\oplus}\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q})(-\times\Delta^{\cdot}))| \to \cdots \to |K^{Gr}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(-\times\Delta^{\cdot}))|. \qquad (15)$$

This tower produces the *Grayson motivic spectral sequence* for $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(X)$ (see [10, 20, 27, 5])

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{\text{nis}}^{p-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}^{Gr}(-q)) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(X), \quad X \in Sm/k. \qquad (16)$$

In view of Theorem 7.1 it takes the form

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathscr{M}}^{p-q,-q}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(X), \quad X \in Sm/k.$$

We are now in a position to prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 7.11. *Let k be a perfect field. Then the Grayson motivic spectral sequence* (16) *is isomorphic to the Voevodsky motivic spectral sequence* [3, p. 171]

$$E_2^{pq} = SH(k)(\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^{\infty}\Sigma_s^{\infty}X_+, \Sigma_s^{p-q}\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}}^q s_0(KGL)) \Longrightarrow K_{-p-q}(X),$$

produced by the slice tower for the bispectrum KGL.

Proof. Recall that there is an additive functor $G_X : \mathscr{A}(X, \operatorname{Spec} k) \to \tilde{\mathscr{P}}(X)$, functorial in X, which is an equivalence whenever X is affine. It induces a map of multisimplicial additive categories

$$G_{q,X} : C^{\oplus}\mathscr{A}(X, \operatorname{Spec} k)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q}) \to C^{\oplus}\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q})(X),$$

which is an equivalence whenever X is affine. In view of ($\mathfrak{Aut}$)-property for $\mathscr{A}$, we can identify $\mathscr{A}(X, \operatorname{Spec} k)(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q})$ with $\mathscr{A}(X, \operatorname{Spec} k \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge q})$.

It follows that Grayson's tower (15) for $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}(X)$ is isomorphic in $\operatorname{Ho}(Pre^{\Sigma}_{nis}(Sm/k))$ to Grayson's tower (6) for $\mathscr{A}(X, \operatorname{Spec} k)$. Corollary 7.2 and Proposition 7.5 imply that Grayson's motivic spectral sequence (16) is isomorphic to Grayson's motivic spectral sequence (7) for $\mathscr{A}$

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathbb{K}}^{p-q,-q}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \Longrightarrow K^{\mathscr{A}}_{-p-q}(X, \operatorname{Spec} k), \quad X \in Sm/k.$$

Theorems 4.7, 7.9, 7.10 now finish the proof. $\square$

To conclude the paper, we remark that all presheaves of symmetric spectra forming the main bispectra $A_{\operatorname{Spec} k}, A_{0,\operatorname{Spec} k}$ we work with are $\mathbb{K}$-modules in the sense of [6]. Moreover, their structure maps are $\mathbb{K}$-module morphisms. Also, Grayson's tower (6) for $\mathscr{A}$ is in fact a tower in the homotopy category $\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Mod} \mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbb{K}$-modules. It produces a tower of compact objects in the motivic homotopy category of $\mathbb{K}$-modules in the sense of [5]. This point of view to the motivic spectral sequence motivated the authors to develop the "enriched motivic homotopy theory" of spectral categories and modules over them [5, 6]. As an application, the motivic spectral sequence is realized in associated triangulated categories. Though we tried to avoid the use of this language here, it is this theory that led the authors to the main results of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Beilinson, Letter to Christophe Soulé, 1982, K-theory Preprint Archives 694.

[2] S. Bloch, S. Lichtenbaum, A spectral sequence for motivic cohomology, K-theory Preprint Archives 62, 1995.

[3] B. I. Dundas, M. Levine, P. A. Østvær, O. Röndigs, V. Voevodsky, Motivic homotopy theory, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[4] E. M. Friedlander, A. Suslin, The spectral sequence relating algebraic K-theory to motivic cohomology, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 35 (2002), 773-875.

[5] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, K-motives of algebraic varieties, Homology, Homotopy Appl., to appear.

[6] G. Garkusha, I. Panin, The triangulated category of K-motives $DK_{-}^{eff}(k)$, preprint, 2012.

[7] T. Geisser, L. Hesselholt, Topological cyclic homology of schemes, in Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 41-87.

[8] D. Grayson, Higher algebraic K-theory II [after Daniel Quillen], in Algebraic K-theory, Evanston 1976, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 551, Springer-Verlag, 1976, pp. 217-240.

[9] D. Grayson, Adams operations on higher K-theory, K-Theory 6 (1992), 97-111.

[10] D. Grayson, Weight filtrations via commuting automorphisms, K-Theory 9 (1995), 139-172.

[11] M. Hovey, Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 165(1) (2001), 63-127.

[12] M. Hovey, B. Shipley, J. Smith, Symmetric spectra, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), 149-208.

[13] B. Kahn, M. Levine, Motives of Azumaya algebras, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 9(3) (2010), 481-599.

[14] M. Levine, Techniques of localization in the theory of algebraic cycles, J. Algebraic Geom. 10(2) (2001), 299-363.

[15] M. Levine, The homotopy coniveau filtration, J. Topology 1 (2008), 217-267.

[16] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky, $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory of schemes, Publ. Math. IHES 90 (1999), 45-143.

[17] A. Neeman, The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9(1) (1996), 205-236.

[18] I. Panin, K. Pimenov, O. Röndigs, On Voevodsky's algebraic Ktheory spectrum *BGL*, Abel. Symp. Proc. 4 (2009), 279-330.

[19] S. Schwede, An untitled book project about symmetric spectra, available at www.math.uni-bonn.de/∼schwede (version v3.0/April 2012).

[20] A. Suslin, On the Grayson spectral sequence, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 241 (2003), 218-253. (Russian). English transl. in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 241(2) (2003), 202-237.

[21] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, Bloch–Kato conjecture and motivic cohomology with finite coefficients, The Arithmetic and Geometry of Algebraic Cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., Vol. 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (2000), pp. 117-189.

[22] R. W. Thomason, T. Trobaugh, Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories, The Grothendieck Festschrift III, Progress in Mathematics 88, Birkhäuser, 1990, pp. 247-435.

[23] V. Voevodsky, $\mathbb{A}^1$-Homotopy theory, Doc. Math., Extra Vol. ICM 1998(1), 417-442.

[24] V. Voevodsky, Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I, In Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998), Int. Press Lect. Ser., vol. 3, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002, pp. 3-34.

[25] V. Voevodsky, A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K-theory, In Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000), Contemp. Math., vol. 293, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 371-379.

[26] F. Waldhausen, Algebraic K-theory of spaces, In Algebraic and geometric topology, Proc. Conf., New Brunswick/USA 1983, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 1126, Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 318-419.

[27] M. E. Walker, Motivic cohomology and the K-theory of automorphisms, PhD Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SWANSEA UNIVERSITY, SINGLETON PARK, SWANSEA SA2 8PP, UK
E-mail address: `g.garkusha@swansea.ac.uk`

ST. PETERSBURG BRANCH OF V. A. STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, FONTANKA 27, 191023 ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `paniniv@gmail.com`